

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/372,879		08/12/1999	STEFANOS SIDIROPOULOS	RD-036 1940		
27846 .	7590	03/17/2003				
RAMBUS INC.				EXAMINER		
4440 EL CA				FARAHANI, DANA		
LOS ALTOS	, CA 94	1022		TAKAHANI, DANA		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2814		
				DATE MAILED: 03/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	pplicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/372,879	SIDIROPOULOS ET	ΓAL.
ravissity risasir.	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dana Farahani	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in all the properties of the section under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a Ition in
	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	·		
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:	•		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24 and 26-37</u> .)
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		/, (
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	roved by the Exami	ńer.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	it(s)(PTO-1449) Peper No(s)	10 south	4
10. Other:	SUPERVICORY TECHNOLO	PRIMERY TO THE SECOND OF THE S	::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
Patent and Trademark Office			



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: in figure 14 of the Lee reference, 63 is the conductive pad, and 61 is underlying and surrounding the pad. Furthermore, while Lee is an ESD protection circuit and the Japanese patent a memory circuit, note that by applying the Japanese patent novelty to the ESD protection circuit of Lee, one of ordinary skill in the art could implement the device in Lee reference to a memory related application, while taking advantage of its ESD protection properties..